

adding to each transition leaving "p" a non-empty-string transition, wherein each state "q" is left with its weights pre- $\otimes$ -multiplied by an  $\epsilon$ -distance from state "p" to a state "q" in the automaton A.

<sup>31</sup>  
~~32~~. (NEW) The computer readable medium of claim <sup>30</sup>~~31~~, the method on the computer readable medium further comprising:

removing inaccessible states using a depth-first search of the automaton A.

<sup>32</sup>  
~~33~~. (NEW) The computer readable medium of claim <sup>30</sup>~~31~~, wherein the step of adding to E[p] non-empty-string transitions further comprises leaving q with weights ( $d[p,q] \otimes w[e]$ ) to the transitions leaving p.

<sup>33</sup>  
~~34~~. (NEW) The computer readable medium of claim <sup>30</sup>~~31~~, wherein the step of computing  $\epsilon$ -closure for each input state of an input automaton A further comprises:

removing all transitions not labeled with an empty string from automaton A to produce an automaton  $A_\epsilon$ ;

decomposing  $A_\epsilon$  into its strongly connected components; and

computing all-pairs shortest distances in each component visited in reverse topological order.

<sup>34</sup>  
~~35~~. (NEW) A circuit programmed to operate a method of removing an empty string term from an automaton A having a set of states "p" and a set of states "q", the method comprising:

computing an  $\epsilon$ -closure for each state "p" of the automaton A;

modifying outgoing transitions of each state "p" by:

removing each transition labeled with an empty string; and

adding to each transition leaving "p" a non-empty-string transition, wherein each state "q" is left with its weights pre-multiplied by an  $\epsilon$ -distance from state "p" to a state "q" in the automaton A.

<sup>35</sup>  
~~36~~. (NEW) The circuit of claim <sup>34</sup>~~35~~, the method programmed into the circuit further comprising:

removing inaccessible states using a depth-first search of the automaton A.

<sup>36</sup>  
~~37~~. (NEW) The circuit of claim <sup>34</sup>~~36~~, wherein the step of adding to E[p] non-empty-string transitions further comprises leaving q with weights ( $d[p,q] \otimes w[e]$ ) to the transitions leaving p.

<sup>37</sup>  
~~38~~. (NEW) The circuit of claim <sup>34</sup>~~37~~, wherein the step of computing  $\epsilon$ -closure for each input state of an input automaton A further comprises:

removing all transitions not labeled with an empty string from automaton A to produce an automaton  $A_\epsilon$ ;

decomposing  $A_\epsilon$  into its strongly connected components; and

computing all-pairs shortest distances in each component visited in reverse topological order.

<sup>38</sup>  
~~39~~. (NEW) A computer readable medium programmed to operate a method of removing an empty string term from a transducer A having a set of states "p" and a set of states "q", the method comprising:

computing an  $\epsilon$ -closure for each state "p" of the transducer A;


modifying outgoing transitions of each state "p" by:

removing each transition labeled with an empty string; and

adding to each transition leaving "p" a non-empty-string transition, wherein each state "q" is left with its weights pre- $\otimes$ -multiplied by an  $\epsilon$ -distance from state "p" to a state "q" in the transducer A.

<sup>39</sup>  
40. (NEW) The computer readable medium of claim <sup>38</sup>39, the method on the computer readable medium further comprising:

removing inaccessible states using a depth-first search of the transducer A.

  
<sup>40</sup>  
41. (NEW) The computer readable medium of claim <sup>38</sup>39, wherein the step of adding to E[p] non-empty-string transitions further comprises leaving q with weights ( $d[p,q] \otimes w[e]$ ) to the transitions leaving p.

<sup>41</sup>  
42. (NEW) The computer readable medium of claim <sup>38</sup>39, wherein the step of computing  $\epsilon$ -closure for each input state of an input transducer A further comprises:

removing all transitions not labeled with an empty string from transducer A to produce a transducer  $A_\epsilon$ ;

decomposing  $A_\epsilon$  into its strongly connected components; and

computing all-pairs shortest distances in each component visited in reverse topological order.

<sup>42</sup>  
43. (NEW) A circuit programmed to operate a method of removing an empty string term from a transducer A having a set of states "p" and a set of states "q", the method comprising:  
computing an  $\epsilon$ -closure for each state "p" of the transducer A;


modifying outgoing transitions of each state "p" by:

removing each transition labeled with an empty string; and

adding to each transition leaving "p" a non-empty-string transition, wherein each state "q" is left with its weights pre- $\otimes$ -multiplied by an  $\epsilon$ -distance from state "p" to a state "q" in the transducer A.

<sup>43</sup>  
~~44~~. (NEW) The circuit of claim <sup>42</sup>~~43~~, the method programmed into the circuit further comprising:

removing inaccessible states using a depth-first search of the transducer A.

 <sup>44</sup>  
~~45~~. (NEW) The circuit of claim <sup>42</sup>~~43~~, wherein the step of adding to E[p] non-empty-string transitions further comprises leaving q with weights ( $d[p,q] \otimes w[e]$ ) to the transitions leaving p.

<sup>45</sup>  
~~46~~. (NEW) The circuit of claim <sup>42</sup>~~43~~, wherein the step of computing  $\epsilon$ -closure for each input state of an input transducer A further comprises:

removing all transitions not labeled with an empty string from transducer A to produce a transducer  $A_\epsilon$ ;

decomposing  $A_\epsilon$  into its strongly connected components; and

computing all-pairs shortest distances in each component visited in reverse topological order.

~~47~~<sup>46</sup>. (NEW) An automaton B having no  $\epsilon$ -transitions, the automaton B produced according to a method of removing the  $\epsilon$ -transitions from an input automaton A having a set of states "p" and a set of states "q", the method comprising:

computing an  $\epsilon$ -closure for each state "p" of the input automaton;

modifying outgoing transitions of each state "p" by:

removing each  $\epsilon$ -transitions; and

adding to each transition leaving "p" a non- $\epsilon$ -transitions, wherein each state "q" is left with its weights pre- $\otimes$ -multiplied by an  $\epsilon$ -distance from state "p" to a state "q" in the input automaton A.

~~48~~<sup>47</sup>. (NEW) The automaton of claim ~~47~~<sup>46</sup>, the method of creating the automaton B further comprising:

removing inaccessible states using a depth-first search of the input automaton.

~~49~~<sup>48</sup>. (NEW) The automaton of claim ~~47~~<sup>46</sup>, wherein the step of adding to E[p] non- $\epsilon$ -transitions further comprises leaving q with weights ( $d[p,q] \otimes w[e]$ ) to the transitions leaving p.

~~50~~<sup>49</sup>. (NEW) A automaton of claim ~~47~~<sup>46</sup>, wherein the step of computing an  $\epsilon$ -closure for each input state of an input automaton A further comprises:

removing all transitions not labeled with an empty string from automaton A to produce an automaton  $A_\epsilon$ ;

decomposing  $A_\epsilon$  into its strongly connected components; and

computing all-pairs shortest distances in each component visited in reverse topological order.

50  
51. (NEW) A transducer B having no  $\epsilon$ -transitions, the transducer B produced according to a method of removing the  $\epsilon$ -transitions from an input transducer A having a set of states "p" and a set of states "q", the method comprising:

computing an  $\epsilon$ -closure for each state "p" of the input transducer;

modifying outgoing transitions of each state "p" by:

removing each  $\epsilon$ -transitions; and

adding to each transition leaving "p" a non- $\epsilon$ -transitions, wherein each state "q" is left with its weights pre- $\otimes$ -multiplied by an  $\epsilon$ -distance from state "p" to a state "q" in the input transducer A.

61  
52. (NEW) The automaton of claim 51, the method of creating the transducer B further comprising:

removing inaccessible states using a depth-first search of the input transducer.

52  
53. (NEW) The automaton of claim 51, wherein the step of adding to  $E[p]$  non- $\epsilon$ -transitions further comprises leaving q with weights  $(d[p,q] \otimes w[e])$  to the transitions leaving p.

53  
54. (NEW) A automaton of claim 51, wherein the step of computing an  $\epsilon$ -closure for each input state of an input transducer A further comprises:

removing all transitions not labeled with an empty string from transducer A to produce a transducer  $A_\epsilon$ ;  
decomposing  $A_\epsilon$  into its strongly connected components; and  
computing all-pairs shortest distances in each component visited in reverse topological order.

54  
55. (NEW) A computer readable medium storing an executable automaton B having no  $\epsilon$ -transitions, the automaton B produced according to a method of removing  $\epsilon$ -transitions from an input automaton A having a set of states "p" and a set of states "q", the method comprising:

W  
computing an  $\epsilon$ -closure for each state "p" of the input automaton;  
modifying outgoing transitions of each state "p" by:  
removing each  $\epsilon$ -transitions; and  
adding to each transition leaving "p" a non- $\epsilon$ -transitions, wherein each state "q" is left with its weights pre-multiplied by an  $\epsilon$ -distance from state "p" to a state "q" in the input automaton.

56. (NEW) A computer readable medium storing an executable transducer B having no  $\epsilon$ -transitions, the transducer B produced according to a method of removing  $\epsilon$ -transitions from an input transducer A having a set of states "p" and a set of states "q", the method comprising:

computing an  $\epsilon$ -closure for each state "p" of the input automaton;  
modifying outgoing transitions of each state "p" by:  
removing each  $\epsilon$ -transitions; and